

Robert Latimer

November 4/2003

To Justice Minister Martin Cauchon

I am writing this letter to you or your office to get the answer to one question, and that question is:

What is the identity of the “more **effective pain medication**” cited 5 times in the Supreme Court’s January 18/2001 decision?

I have included a copy of the Supreme Court’s January 18/2001 decision, and I have numbered the lines of it, including the title.

The Court states “**more effective pain medication**” on line 73. [page 146]

The Court states “**better pain management was available**” on line 128. [page 148]

The Court states “**more effective pain medication**” on line 325. [page 152]

The Court states “**better pain management was available**” on line 652. [page 160]

The Court states “**more effective pain medication**” on line 661. [page 160]

It has now been 10 years since I was arrested, and the authorities started to work on us, and I am now writing you again to get an exact definition of this claim made in the judgement that I do not understand.

As I have tried to make you aware of in the past, I do not understand what medication the Supreme Court is citing these 5 times in their decision against me.

My last letter to you was on June 2/2003, and I received no reply to my June 2/2003 letter. I don’t know why I received no reply to my June 2/2003 letter, but I do hope you, or your helpers can find the time to answer this one question.