

November 4/2004

To the Supreme Court of Canada

It has now been 11 years since the authorities started to work on us. It is very difficult to believe this Court could insist we use a “**more effective pain medication**” we had never heard of, and I don’t believe this Court knew of a more effective pain medication either.

I really believe that if it were your child instead of ours being medicated this Court would indeed be aware of what medication would have been available for your child, and what medications would not have been available for your child. This Court’s finding that we could have used a “**more effective pain medication**” is based on fraudulent fabrications made by Saskatchewan Department of Justice prosecutors.

Not only is this Court’s egregious disregard for the printed record before it difficult to understand. This Court’s eagerness to accept, endorse, and promote unsubstantiated medical claims of a group of police and prosecutors, that has already proven it’s crude disregard for ethical legal practices by their covertly “confirming guilty verdicts” is clearly a show of this Court’s underlying prejudice against me.

If the Supreme Court of Canada cannot function with integrity, this country cannot hold out much hope for avoiding the taxpayer-funded authorities that set out to covertly “confirm guilty verdicts” in the future.

This Court had no reason to endorse the fabricated medical claims of the prosecutors.

It was my belief, as well as a great many other Canadians that this Court is supposed to apply the laws of this county to the facts of the case before it, not misrepresent the facts of the case before it to support the charges against an accused person.

It is never too late to correct your understanding of the evidence that was before this Court, and eliminate the parts of this Court’s January 18/2001 decision that are supported by the fabricated medical claims of the Saskatchewan Justice Department’s prosecutors.

There are so many decent, morally conscious Canadians that are totally disgusted with your cruel decision. How could this Court be so out of touch with the very Community standards [on lines 120, and 608] or society’s values [on lines 594, and 1095] this Court claims to represent in this Court’s January 18/2001 decision.

This Court’s insistence that we as parents have to continue on with a program of force-feeding, and mutilating our daughter may be very reassuring to a great many self-aggrandizing hard-core religious authorities, but it is truly cruel, and wrong to most Canadians.